



SURVEY RESULT

Evaluation of Questionnaire		
Participants / Survey		27
Evaluation result:	(Average of all answers)	Ø
Number of visitors: Quality of visitors: Price-performance-ratio	(from 10 – 50) (from 10 – 50)	34,8 38,5
of the exhibition Organization of the	(from 10 – 50)	32,6
Exhibition: Performance of the total infrastructure	(from 10 – 50) (from 10 – 50)	34,8 37,8
Will your company participate on the next exhibition here? (2012)	Total votes: Yes Open decision No	7 10 10 27,8
The average of all votes totally (including the vote for the next par	[form average = 30] ticipation).	34,4 bette
Do you prefer a 2-year-rhythm for	r this fair? Ye	
Please see details under	Evaluation Scheme (II), Evaluation Scheme (III), Evaluation Scheme (IV) and Comment collection (V).	

Vechta, 25. February 2011

Klaus Fahlbusch / Director

 SHOWCO Association
 Legal Seat: Padova · Italy

 Operative Office: Paul-Keller-Str. 19
 D-49377 Vechta, Germany · Tel: +49-4441-3266 · Fax: +49-4441-3180

 E-Mail: klaus.fahlbusch@email.de · www.showco.org · Tax-Nr.: 68 / 279 / 00898

 President: Paul de Schouwer, Barneveld-NL · Vice-Presid.: Ruggero Segalla, Sandrigo-IT + Dr. Hans-Friedr. Finck Cuxhaven-DE Director: Klaus Fahlbusch, Vechta-DE



EXHIBITION:

IPE-Atlanta-2011, USA

Score System:

Evaluation Scheme II

Survey Questionnaire

Please, evaluate the above mentioned exhibition by answering the following questions. The left circuit means always the best evaluation; the right circuit means always the most unsatisfied evaluation. – **The schedule shows the weight of each vote.**

	extremely high	extremely low
Number of visitors	50 (40 (30 (2	20 10
Quality of visitors	excellent (50) (40) (30) (2	very bad
Price-performance-ratio of the fair	$\begin{array}{c} \text{excellent} \\ \hline 50 \\ \hline 40 \\ \hline 30 \\ \hline 2 \hline$	20 (10)
Organization of the trade fair	perfect 50 40 30 (2	20 very bad
Performance of the total Infrastructure. (Traffic, Hotel, Halls quality, IT-support, Bac	\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc	20 very bad
Will you participate on the next exhibition here?	Yes don't know	No 10
Additional vote: Do you prefer a 2-year-rhythm of this fair?	Yes	No (1=1)
Best rating (all: 50) =	300	
Average rating (all: 30) =	180	
Worst rating (all: 10) =		60
RATING AVERAGE:	<u>50</u> Ø <u>30</u> Ø	<u>10</u> Ø



EXHIBITION:

IPE-Atlanta-2011, USA

Score System:

Evaluation Scheme III

Survey Questionnaire

Please, evaluate the above mentioned exhibition by answering the following questions. The left circuit means always the best evaluation; the right circuit means always the most unsatisfied evaluation. – **The schedule shows the position of the majority of all votes.**

	extremely high 34,8	extremely low
Number of visitors	50 40 30 20) (10)
	excellent 38,5	very bad
Quality of visitors	50 40 30 20) (10)
	excellent	very bad
Price-performance-ratio of the fair	50 40 30 20) (10)
	perfect 34,8	very bad
Organization of the trade fair	50 40 30 20	
Performance of the total	perfect 37,8 (50) (40) (30) (20)	very bad
Infrastructure. (Traffic, Hotel, Halls quality, IT-support, Back staff availability, etc.)		
	Yes don't know 27,8	No
Will you participate on the next exhibition here?	50 30	10
Additional vote : Do you prefer a 2-year-rhythm	Yes	No
of this fair?	92,6%	(7,4)%

The average of all votes totally (including the vote for the next participation) 34,4



EXHIBITION:

IPE-Atlanta-2011, USA

Score System:

Evaluation Scheme IV

Survey Questionnaire

Please, evaluate the above mentioned exhibition by answering the following questions. The left circuit means always the best evaluation; the right circuit means always the most unsatisfied evaluation. – The schedule shows the number of votes in each category.

	extremely extremel high low	У
Number of visitors	$ \begin{array}{c} 50 \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 40 \\ 13 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 30 \\ 11 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 20 \\ 2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 10 \end{array} $	
Quality of visitors	excellent very back 50 40 30 20 10 10	
Price-performance-ratio of the fair	excellent very back 50 40 30 20 10 10	
Organization of the trade fair	perfect very back 50 40 30 20 10 17 5 3 10	\mathbf{i}
Performance of the total Infrastructure. (Traffic, Hotel, Halls quality, IT-support, Bac	perfect very back 50 40 30 20 10 10 k staff availability, etc.)	d
Will you participate on the next exhibition here?	Yes don't know No 50 30 $5+5$ 10 10	
Additional vote: Do you prefer a 2-year-rhythm of this fair?	Yes No 25 25)

If there are any questions regarding the survey and its evaluation, please contact us.

Tel.:	+49-4441-3266;	Mobil:	+49-174-9127137
Fax:	+49-4441-3180		
E-Mail:	klaus.fahlbusch@email.de		



EXHIBITION:

IPE-Atlanta-2011, USA

Free Comments:

Evaluation Scheme V

Survey Questionnaire

- a) The new form of our Questionnaire confused some exhibitors regarding their answer concerning question six. In conformity with the ShowCo philosophy it should be answered "NO". The next recommended show is 2013 (not 2012).
- b) Five apparent confused votes are shifted to the category "DON'T KNOW".
- c) We asked not only members. The two votes for a yearly IPE comes not from ShowCo members.

Participant comments.

- 1) Our efforts at the show will be scaled back in 2012. (No member).
- 2) I feel this show is becoming more relevant for the US market only rest of macro areas such as Latin America, Middle East and Asia are gravitating around other shows. IPE would gain considerably if they become more proactive an support exhibitors with extra activities, even during show preparation(common mailing, free thematic areas for such things as organic production, animal welfare etc.)
- 3) The show of 2011 was better then expected with more visitors, both local and international. Surprising good number of Eastern Europe visitors. many visitors with investment plans. The quality of the visitors has improved.
- 4) Good show for international visitors, bad show if you are looking for US people.
- 5) Better US attendance than in past years. Strong Latin American contingent. Disappointing European participation.
- 6) The freight handling is very bad with all trucks going in line. The organization and possibilities to do work your self on lifts etc. is not possible every must be done by GES and manpower and prices are horrible.
- 7) We might to decide to downsize the booth next year as we did last year we have an office in USA and need to be present at the show. (*No member*).
- 8) This year we had a lot more international visitors. We believe that this exhibition will remain being organised every year because of its scientific program. Customers are attracted to this show: Atlanta is a very convenient hub to reach for both national and international visitors. Although we would like to have it organised every 2 years for budget reasons, we might have no other choice than to be there, because of the presence of US competitors that compete also international.
- 9) Bad WiFi network.
- 10) IPE-Atlanta is by far the best trade show for the Latin America market. As such it justifies to have this event take place on an annual basis. (*No member*).