

SURVEY RESULT

Exhibition: SPACE-20	13, Rennes, France	(10.–13.09.2013)
Evaluation of Questionnaire		
Participants / Survey		23
Evaluation result:	(Average of all answers)	Ø
Number of visitors: Quality of visitors: Price-performance-ratio	(rating: 10 – 50) (rating: 10 – 50)	28,7 34,3
of the exhibition Organization of the	(rating: 10 – 50)	30,5
Exhibition: Performance of the	(rating: 10 – 50)	32,6
total infrastructure	(rating: 10 – 50)	27,4
Will you participate on the SPACE-2015?	Votes: Yes Open decision No	17 6 0 44,8
The average of all votes totally (including the vote for the next participation).	[Form average = 30]	33,1 little bit better the average
Prefer you a 2-year-rhythm also for SPACE?	Don't	Yes 77,0 % know 0,0 % No 21,7 %
Please see details under	Evaluation Scheme (II), Evaluation Scheme (III), Evaluation Scheme (IV) and Comment collection.	d

Vechta, 07. November 2013

XIIIS

Klaus Fahlbusch / Director

SHOWCO Association · Legal Seat: Padova · Italy

Operative Office: Paul-Keller-Str. 19 · D-49377 Vechta, Germany · Tel: +49-4441-3266 · Fax: +49-4441-3180 E-Mail: klaus.fahlbusch@email.de · www.showco.org · Tax-Nr.: 68 / 279 / 00898 · USt-IdNr.(VAT): DE266624897 President: Paul de Schouwer, Barneveld-NL · Vice-Presid.: Ruggero Segalla, Sandrigo-IT + Massimo Finco, Marsango-IT Director: Klaus Fahlbusch, Vechta-DE



Score System:

Evaluation Scheme II

Survey Questionnaire

Please, evaluate the above mentioned exhibition by answering the following questions. The left circuit means always the best evaluation; the right circuit means always the most unsatisfied evaluation. – **The schedule shows the weight of each vote.**

	extremely extremely low	y
Number of visitors	50 (40) (30) (20) (10)	
Quality of visitors	excellent very bad 50 40 30 20 10	
Price-performance-ratio of the fair	excellent very bad	
Organization of the trade fair	perfectvery back5040302010	ł
Performance of the total Infrastructure. (Traffic, Hotel, Halls quality, IT-support, Bac	$\begin{array}{c} \text{perfect} & \text{very bac} \\ \hline 50 & 40 & 30 & 20 & 10 \\ \text{ck staff availability, etc.)} \end{array}$	ł
Will you participate on the SPACE-2015?	Yes don't know No	
Prefer you a 2-year-rhythm also for SPACE?	Yes Don't know No	
Best rating (all: 50) =	300	
Average rating (all: 30) =	180	
Worst rating (all: 10) =	60	
RATING AVERAGE:	<u>50</u> Ø <u>30</u> Ø <u>10</u> Ø	Ď



Score System:

Evaluation Scheme III

Survey Questionnaire

Please, evaluate the above mentioned exhibition by answering the following questions. The left circuit means always the best evaluation; the right circuit means always the most unsatisfied evaluation. – The schedule shows the position of the majority of all votes.

	extremely high	28,7	extremely low
Number of visitors	50 (40)	30 20	10
	excellent 34,3		very bad
Quality of visitors	50 40	30 20	10
Price-performance-ratio of the fair	excellent (50) (40)	30,5 30 20	very bad
Organization of the trade fair	perfect	32,6 (30) (20)	very bad
Performance of the total Infrastructure. (Traffic, Hotel, Halls quality, IT-support, Ba	50 $40ck staff availability, et$	27,4 30 20 c.)	very bad
Will you participate on the SPACEI-2015?	Yes ↓ 44,8	don't know	No 10
Prefer you a 2-year-rhythm also for SPACE?	Yes 78,3 %	Don't Know	No 21,7%

The average of all votes totally (including the vote for the next participation)

<u>33,1</u>



Score System:

Evaluation Scheme IV

Survey Questionnaire

Please, evaluate the above mentioned exhibition by answering the following questions. The left circuit means always the best evaluation; the right circuit means always the most unsatisfied evaluation. – **The schedule shows the number of votes in each category.**

					1
	extremely high				extremely low
Number of visitors	50 (40 5	(30) 10	20	(10)
	excellent	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	very bad
Quality of visitors	(50) ((40) 14	(30) 5	(20)	(10)
	excellent	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	very bad
Price-performance-ratio of the fair	(50) (40 8	(30)	(20) 5	
	perfect	\frown	\bigcirc	\frown	very bad
Organization of the trade fair	(50) ((40) 9	(30)	(20) 3	(10)
	perfect	\frown	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	very bad
Performance of the total Infrastructure.	(50) ((40) 6	(30) 6	(20) 10	
(Traffic, Hotel, Halls quality, IT-support, Bac	ck staff availabi	ility, etc.)		
	Yes	I	Don't know		No
Will you participate on the SPACE-2015?	(50 17				(10)
Prefer you a 2-year-rhythm	Yes		Don't know		No
also for SPACE?	(18)		0		5

If there are any questions regarding the survey and its evaluation, please contact us.

Tel.:	+49-4441-3266;	Mobil:	+49-174-9127137
Fax:	+49-4441-3180		
E-Mail:	klaus.fahlbusch@e	email.de	



Free Comments:

Evaluation Scheme V

Survey Questionnaire

- a) Although several reminders we got not so many answers regarding our Survey. (In total 23). Two participants answered not to question 3 (prize-worthiness).
- b) We asked not only members. Five participants (of 23) are non-members.
- c) Text in round clamps (...) is added for better understanding, and is not part of the origin comment.

Participant comments.

- Poor organization of taxi service, especially after exhibitor's evening. Shuttle bus service could have been better the first day, the day after it was better. Location: far away from hotels, local infrastructure is not adapted.
- 2) # Mobil communication very bad / 3G network very bad.
 - # Dirty and old fashioned / not well maintained toilets.
 - # No [easy] possibility to access emails / internet.
 - # Quality of parking, especially when it rains, is very bad and impossible, to keep your shoes or cloths clean.
 - # Traffic, especially after the exhibition, is horrible. Impossible to get out quickly due to poor infrastructure.
- 3) Exhibition "SPACE" in France is not an international Fair like VIV-Europe, etc. . Most of all it is the unique and very important event for the French market and local business. That's why we want to participate to this fair each year.
- 4) France is one of our homelands and we have 5 companies here that exhibit together.
- 5) Most frustrating issue by infrastructure is the lack of internet. Internet is permanently overloaded. However, the number and quality of the visitors was ok. There was no new business. Poultry market is in minor because of low market prices and saturation of the market supply. Fact that ShowCo members were separated from breeding organizations and feed suppliers was also not helpful. (Non-members of) ShowCo got a place in better areas. Finally the show was disappointing.
- 6) We had to battle with new bureaucratic and expensive barriers: A "Health & Safety coordinator" must be appointed if stand comprising structures are larger than 3,50 m. Costs per stand: € 794,-- and much too much paper-warfare.
- 7) (We) exhibit every year but we don't show poultry equipment [only pig equipment] the years that we [ShowCo] has decided not to participate. (Booth in pig halls every year).
- 8) # It must be internationalised.# 3 days duration would be enough.

- 9) The layout of the halls 10A and 10B was terrible. ShowCo must work very hard with the organizers to get a more logical layout of the exhibitors.
- 10) No taxis were available. Who not came with the own car, got big problems. The day, when the minister came, the shuttle bus to the airport was canceled without any alternative.
- 11) We think that there are more & more international visitors from North Africa, Middle East and Asia compared to previous years.
- 12) A 2-year-rhythm is more than sufficient. Every year is too much. The halls have poor facilities with regard to water and sewerage.
 Having all livestock breeders together gives a better overview of genetics offering. It would help multi-species companies to avoid having to build multiple stands.
- 13) Due to French crisis in poultry market there were nearly no French visitors. In our booth only 20%. But interest from other countries was good. 3 days would be better than 4 days [for poultry].